

Navy Federal Credit Union
Florida Project-Specific Audit
For the year ended December 31, 2024

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Auditors on the Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance.....	1
Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance.....	3
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance.....	4
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance for Each Major State Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by Chapter 10.650, State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General	5
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.....	8



Report of Independent Auditors

To the Board of Directors and Supervisory Committee of Navy Federal Credit Union

Report on the Audit of the Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance for the Quick Action Closing Fund of Navy Federal Credit Union (the "Project") for the year ended December 31, 2024, and the related notes (the "financial statement").

In our opinion, the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance presents fairly, in all material respects, the expenditures of state financial assistance for the Project of Navy Federal Credit Union for the year ended December 31, 2024, in accordance with the cash basis of accounting described in Note 1.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Chapter 10.650, *State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General*. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance section of our report. We are required to be independent of Navy Federal Credit Union and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Basis of Accounting

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared on the cash basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Responsibilities of Management for the Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with the cash basis of accounting described in Note 1, and for determining that the cash basis of accounting is an acceptable basis for preparation of the financial statement in the circumstances. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statement, management is responsible for the evaluation of whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about Navy Federal Credit Union's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with US GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the audit requirements of Chapter 10.650, *State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statement.

In performing an audit in accordance with US GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and Chapter 10.650, *State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud, or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Navy Federal Credit Union's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statement.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about Navy Federal Credit Union's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Washington, District of Columbia
July 21, 2025

NAVY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE
For the Year Ended 12/31/2024

	<u>CSFA</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Grant</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Expenditures</u> <u>(in thousands)</u>
State of Florida Department of Commerce Division of Economic Development			
DIRECT PROJECT			
Quick Action Closing Fund	40.008	SB15-041	<u>\$4,000</u>
Total Division of Economic Development			<u>\$4,000</u>
Total Expenditures of State Financial Assistance			<u>\$4,000</u>

The accompanying note is an integral part of this schedule.

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance (the "Schedule") summarizes the expenditures of Navy Federal Credit Union (the "Company") under the Quick Action Closing Fund granted by the Division of Economic Development of the Florida Department of Commerce for the year ended December 31, 2024 and is presented using the cash basis of accounting. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 69I-5, Rules of the Florida Department of Financial Services, Florida Administrative Code, *Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance*. Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Company, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company. Additionally, the Company did not pass through any state financial assistance to subrecipients or have any non-cash expenditures.



Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance for the Quick Action Closing Fund and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by Chapter 10.650, *State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General*

To the Board of Directors and Supervisory Committee of Navy Federal Credit Union

Report on Compliance for the Quick Action Closing Fund

Opinion on Compliance for the Quick Action Closing Fund

We have audited Navy Federal Credit Union’s compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *Florida Department of Financial Services’ State Projects Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on its Quick Action Closing Fund (the “State Project”) for the year ended December 31, 2024.

In our opinion, Navy Federal Credit Union complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its State Project for the year ended December 31, 2024.

Basis for Opinion on the Quick Action Closing Fund

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Chapter 10.650, *State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General*. Our responsibilities under those standards and Chapter 10.650, *State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General* are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of Navy Federal Credit Union and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for the State Project. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Navy Federal Credit Union’s compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the State Project.

Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on Navy Federal Credit Union’s compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with US GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the audit requirements of Chapter

10.650, *State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General* will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about Navy Federal Credit Union's compliance with the requirements of the State Project as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with US GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and Chapter 10.650, *State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance whether due to fraud or error and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding Navy Federal Credit Union's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of Navy Federal Credit Union's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Navy Federal Credit Union's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of Chapter 10.650, *State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General*. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Washington, District of Columbia
July 21, 2025

**Navy Federal Credit Union
 Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
 For the Year Ended 12/31/2024**

Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with the cash basis of accounting: unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified? No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? No

State Awards

Internal control over major state programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified? No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for the Quick Action Closing Fund: unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Chapter 10.654, *State of Florida Rules of the Auditor General*? No

Identification of Major Programs:

State CSFA Number(s)	Name of State Program or Cluster
40.008	Quick Action Closing Fund

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: N/A

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? N/A

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

There are no findings to be reported. A summary schedule of prior audit findings is not required because there were no prior findings.

Section III – State Award Findings and Questioned Costs

There are no findings to be reported. A summary schedule of prior audit findings is not required because there were no prior findings.